arXiv:1410.8225v1 [math.PR] 30 Oct 2014

A Note On G-normal Distributions

Yongsheng Song*

October 31, 2014

Abstract

As is known, the convolution $\mu * \nu$ of two G-normal distributions μ, ν with different intervals of variances may not be G-normal. We shows that $\mu * \nu$ is a G-normal distribution if and only if $\frac{\overline{\sigma}_\mu}{\underline{\sigma}_\mu} = \frac{\overline{\sigma}_\nu}{\underline{\sigma}_\nu}$.

Key words: G-normal distribution, Cramer's decomposition
MSC-classification: 60E10

1 Introduction

Peng (2007) introduced the notion of G-normal distribution via the viscosity solutions of the G-heat equation below

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - G(\partial_x^2 u) &= 0, \ (t,x) \in (0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}, \\ u(0,x) &= \varphi(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $G(a) = \frac{1}{2}(\overline{\sigma}^2 x^+ - \underline{\sigma}^2 x^-)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 \le \underline{\sigma} \le \overline{\sigma} < \infty$, and $\varphi \in C_{b,Lip}(\mathbb{R})$, the collection of bounded Lipstchiz functions on $\mathbb{R}$.

Then the one-dimensional G-normal distribution is defined by

$$N_G[\varphi] = u^\varphi(1,0),$$

where u^φ is the viscosity solution to the G-heat equation with the initial value φ. We denote by $\mathcal{G}^0$ the collection of functions G defined above. For $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{G}^0$, the convolution between N_{G_1}, N_{G_2} is defined as $N_{G_1} * N_{G_2}[\varphi] := N_{G_1}[\phi]$ with $\phi(x) := N_{G_2}[\varphi(x+\cdot)]$.

As is well-known, the convolution of two normal distributions is also normal. How about G-normal distributions? We state the question in the PDE language: For $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{G}^0$, set $G(t,a) = G_2(a), \ t \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $G(t,a) = G_1(a), \ t \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. Let v^φ be the viscosity solution to the following PDE

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t v - G(t, \partial_x^2 v) &= 0, \\ v(0,x) &= \varphi(x). \end{aligned}$$

Can we find a function $G \in \mathcal{G}^0$ such that

$$v^\varphi(1,0) = u^\varphi(1,0), \text{ for all } \varphi \in C_{b,Lip}(\mathbb{R})?$$

*Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, CAS, Beijing, China, yssong@amss.ac.cn. Research supported by by NCMIS; Youth Grant of NSF (No. 11101406); Key Project of NSF (No. 11231005); Key Lab of Random Complex Structures and Data Science, CAS (No. 2008DP173182).

Here u^φ is the viscosity solution to the G-heat equation. However, [2] gave a counterexample to show that generally $N_{G_1} * N_{G_2}$ is not G-normal any more.

We denote by $\mathcal{G}$ the subset of $\mathcal{G}^0$ consisting of the elements with $\underline{\sigma} > 0$. N_G is called non-degenerate if G belongs to $\mathcal{G}$. For $G \in \mathcal{G}$, set

$$\beta_G := \frac{\overline{\sigma}}{\underline{\sigma}} \text{ and } \sigma_G = \frac{\overline{\sigma} + \underline{\sigma}}{2}.$$

For abbreviation, we write β, σ instead of β_G, σ_G when no confusion can arise.

We shall prove that $N_{G_1} * N_{G_2}$ is a G-normal distribution if and only if $\beta_{G_1} = \beta_{G_2}$. Besides, the convolution between G-normal distributions is not commutative. We also prove that $N_{G_1} * N_{G_2} = N_{G_2} * N_{G_1}$ if and only if $\beta_{G_1} = \beta_{G_2}$.

Therefore, in order to emphasize the importance of the ratio β, we denote the G-normal distribution N_G by $N_\beta(0, \sigma^2)$.

2 Characteristic Functions

First we shall consider the solutions of special forms to the G-heat equation

$$\partial_t u - G(\partial_x^2 u) = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Assume that $u(t,x) = a(t)\phi(x)$ with $a(t) \geq 0$ is a solution to the G-heat equation (2.1). Then we conclude that

$$\frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} = \frac{G(\phi''(x))}{\phi(x)}$$

is a constant. Assuming that they are equal to $-\frac{\rho^2}{2}$, we have $a(t) = e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{2}t}$ and

$$G(\phi''(x)) = -\frac{\rho^2}{2}\phi(x). \tag{2.2}$$

If $\phi''(x)$ is positive, the equation (2.2) reduces to

$$\overline{\phi}''(x) = -\frac{\rho^2}{\overline{\sigma}^2}\overline{\phi}(x). \tag{2.3}$$

and the solution is

$$\overline{\phi}(x) = \overline{\lambda}\cos(\frac{\rho}{\overline{\sigma}}x + \overline{\theta}).$$

On the other hand, if $\phi''(x)$ is negative, the equation (2.2) reduces to

$$\underline{\phi}''(x) = -\frac{\rho^2}{\underline{\sigma}^2}\underline{\phi}(x). \tag{2.4}$$

and the solution is

$$\underline{\phi}(x) = \underline{\lambda}\cos(\frac{\rho}{\underline{\sigma}}x + \underline{\theta}).$$

Motivated by the arguments above, we shall construct the solutions to the equation (2.2) in the following way. Denoting by $\beta = \frac{\overline{\sigma}}{\underline{\sigma}}$, set

$$\phi_\beta(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{1+\beta}\cos(\frac{1+\beta}{2}x) & \text{for } x \in [-\frac{\pi}{1+\beta}, \frac{\pi}{1+\beta}); \\ \frac{2\beta}{1+\beta}\cos(\frac{1+\beta}{2\beta}x + \frac{\beta-1}{2\beta}\pi) & \text{for } x \in [\frac{\pi}{1+\beta}, \frac{(2\beta+1)\pi}{1+\beta}). \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$



Figure 1: $\phi_\beta(x)$

This is a variant of the trigonometric function $\cos x$ (see Figure 1).

Then extend the definition of ϕ_β to the whole real line by the property $\phi(x+2k\pi)=\phi(x)$, $k\in\mathbb{Z}$. Clearly, $\phi_1(x)=\cos x$ and ϕ_β belongs to $C^{2,1}(\mathbb{R})$, the space of bounded functions on $\mathbb{R}$ with uniformly Lipschitz continuous second-order derivatives.

Proposition 2.1 *ϕ_β is a solution to equation (2.2) with $\rho=\frac{\underline{\sigma}+\overline{\sigma}}{2}=:\sigma$.*

Proof. The proof follows from simple calculations. For $x\in[-\frac{\pi}{1+\beta},\frac{\pi}{1+\beta})$,

$$\phi''_\beta(x)=-\frac{1+\beta}{2}\cos(\frac{1+\beta}{2}x)=-\frac{(1+\beta)^2}{4}\phi_\beta(x)\le 0.$$

So

$$G(\phi''_\beta(x))=-\frac{(1+\beta)^2\underline{\sigma}^2}{8}\phi_\beta(x)=-\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\phi_\beta(x).$$

For $x\in[\frac{\pi}{1+\beta},\frac{(2\beta+1)\pi}{1+\beta})$,

$$\phi''_\beta(x)=-\frac{1+\beta}{2\beta}\cos(\frac{1+\beta}{2\beta}x+\frac{\beta-1}{2\beta}\pi)=-\frac{(1+\beta)^2}{4\beta^2}\phi_\beta(x)\ge 0.$$

So

$$G(\phi''_\beta(x))=-\frac{(1+\beta)^2\overline{\sigma}^2}{8\beta^2}\phi_\beta(x)=-\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\phi_\beta(x).$$

□

Corollary 2.2 *Let $\sigma:=\frac{\underline{\sigma}+\overline{\sigma}}{2}$ and $\beta:=\frac{\overline{\sigma}}{\underline{\sigma}}$. $e^{-\frac{\sigma^2}{2}t}\phi_\beta(x)$ is the solution to equation (2.1) with the initial value $\phi_\beta(x)$. In other words, $N_G[\phi_\beta(x+\sqrt{t}\cdot)]=e^{-\frac{\sigma^2}{2}t}\phi_\beta(x)$.*

For $\lambda>0$ and $c,\theta\in R$, set $\phi_\beta^{\lambda,c,\theta}(x):=\lambda\phi_\beta(cx+\theta)$. It's easy to check that

$$G((\phi_\beta^{\lambda,c,\theta})'')=-\frac{c^2\sigma^2}{2}\phi_\beta^{\lambda,c,\theta}.$$

So $e^{-\frac{c^2\sigma^2}{2}t}\phi_\beta^{\lambda,c,\theta}(x)$ is the solution to equation (2.1) with the initial value $\phi_\beta^{\lambda,c,\theta}(x)$. For any $\beta>1$, we call

$$(\phi_\beta^{\lambda,c,\theta}(x))_{\lambda,c,\theta}$$

the characteristic functions of G-normal distributions $N_\beta(0,\sigma^2)$.

3 Cramer's Type Decomposition for G-normal Distributions

Let's introduce more properties on the characteristic functions $\{\phi_\beta(x)\}_{\beta\geq 1}$. For $1 \leq \alpha < \beta < \infty$, we have

$$\phi_\alpha(x) - \phi_\beta(x) \geq \frac{2(\beta-\alpha)}{(1+\alpha)(1+\beta)} =: e(\alpha,\beta) > 0.$$



Figure 2: $\phi_\alpha(x)$ and $\phi_\beta(x)$

Theorem 3.1 *For $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{G}$, the convolution $N_{G_1} * N_{G_2}$ is a G-normal distribution if and only if $\beta_{G_1} := \frac{\overline{\sigma}_{G_1}}{\underline{\sigma}_{G_1}} = \frac{\overline{\sigma}_{G_2}}{\underline{\sigma}_{G_2}} =: \beta_{G_2}$.*

Proof. The sufficiency is obvious, and we only prove the necessity. Assume $N := N_{G_1} * N_{G_2}$ is a G-normal distribution. Then $\underline{\sigma}_N^2 = \underline{\sigma}_{G_1}^2 + \underline{\sigma}_{G_2}^2$ and $\overline{\sigma}_N^2 = \overline{\sigma}_{G_1}^2 + \overline{\sigma}_{G_2}^2$. If $\beta_{G_1} \neq \beta_{G_2}$, we may assume that $\beta_{G_1} < \beta_{G_2}$, and the other case can be proved similarly. Then we have $\beta_{G_1} < \beta_N < \beta_{G_2}$. On one hand we have

$$N[\phi_{\beta_N}(\sqrt{t}\cdot)] = e^{-\frac{\sigma_N^2}{2}t}\phi_{\beta_N}(0) = \frac{2}{1+\beta_N}e^{-\frac{\sigma_N^2}{2}t}.$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&N_{G_2}[\phi_{\beta_N}(\sqrt{t}(x+\cdot))] \\
&\geq N_{G_2}[\phi_{\beta_{G_2}}(\sqrt{t}(x+\cdot))] + e(\beta_N,\beta_{G_2}) \\
&= e^{-\frac{\sigma_{G_2}^2}{2}t}\phi_{\beta_{G_2}}(\sqrt{t}x)] + e(\beta_N,\beta_{G_2}) \\
&\geq -\frac{2\beta_{G_2}}{1+\beta_{G_2}}e^{-\frac{\sigma_{G_2}^2}{2}t} + e(\beta_N,\beta_{G_2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$N[\phi_{\beta_N}(\sqrt{t}\cdot)] = N_{G_1} * N_{G_2}[\phi_{\beta_N}(\sqrt{t}\cdot)] \geq -\frac{2\beta_{G_2}}{1+\beta_{G_2}}e^{-\frac{\sigma_{G_2}^2}{2}t} + e(\beta_N,\beta_{G_2}).$$

Combining the above arguments we have

$$\frac{2}{1+\beta_N}e^{-\frac{\sigma_N^2}{2}t} \geq -\frac{2\beta_{G_2}}{1+\beta_{G_2}}e^{-\frac{\sigma_{G_2}^2}{2}t} + e(\beta_N,\beta_{G_2}), \text{ for any } t > 0,$$

which is a contradiction noting that $e(\beta_N,\beta_{G_2}) > 0$. $\square$

Theorem 3.2 *For $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{G}$, $N_{G_1} * N_{G_2} = N_{G_2} * N_{G_1}$ if and only if $\beta_{G_1} = \beta_{G_2}$.*

Proof. We shall only prove the necessity. Assume that $\beta_{G_1} < \beta_{G_2}$. Then

$$N_{G_1}[\phi_{\beta_{G_1}}(\sqrt{t}x + \sqrt{t}\cdot)] = e^{-\frac{\sigma_{G_1}^2}{2}t}\phi_{\beta_{G_1}}(\sqrt{t}x) \leq \frac{2}{1+\beta_{G_1}}e^{-\frac{\sigma_{G_1}^2}{2}t}.$$

So

$$N_{G_2} * N_{G_1}[\phi_{\beta_{G_1}}(\sqrt{t}\cdot)] \leq \frac{2}{1+\beta_{G_1}}e^{-\frac{\sigma_{G_1}^2}{2}t}.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
&N_{G_2}[\phi_{\beta_{G_1}}(\sqrt{t}x + \sqrt{t}\cdot)] \\
&\geq N_{G_2}[\phi_{\beta_{G_2}}(\sqrt{t}x + \sqrt{t}\cdot)] + e(\beta_{G_1}, \beta_{G_2}) \\
&= e^{-\frac{\sigma_{G_2}^2}{2}t}\phi_{\beta_{G_2}}(\sqrt{t}x) + e(\beta_{G_1}, \beta_{G_2}) \\
&\geq -\frac{2\beta_{G_2}}{1+\beta_{G_2}}e^{-\frac{\sigma_{G_2}^2}{2}t} + e(\beta_{G_1}, \beta_{G_2}),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$N_{G_1} * N_{G_2}[\phi_{\beta_{G_1}}(\sqrt{t}\cdot)] \geq -\frac{2\beta_{G_2}}{1+\beta_{G_2}}e^{-\frac{\sigma_{G_2}^2}{2}t} + e(\beta_{G_1}, \beta_{G_2}).$$

Noting that $e(\beta_{G_1}, \beta_{G_2}) > 0$, we have

$$N_{G_1} * N_{G_2}[\phi_{\beta_{G_1}}(\sqrt{t}\cdot)] > N_{G_2} * N_{G_1}[\phi_{\beta_{G_1}}(\sqrt{t}\cdot)] \text{ for } t \text{ large enough.}$$

□

References

[1] Peng, S.(2007) *G-expectation, G-Brownian Motion and Related Stochastic Calculus of Itô type*, Stochastic analysis and applications, 541-567, Abel Symp., 2, Springer, Berlin.

[2] Hu, M. (2010) *Nonlinear Expectations and Related Topics*, Doctoral thesis.